into this PRIVATE NOTICE as the "Protector" providing an honorable

28

11/22/2017

1	l
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PRIVATE NOTICE regarding the public dishonors in Public Case Number
1:10-CR-00150-AWI where the "Protector" is protecting the Honor of the
Court and the Chief Financial Officer, United States District Court, Eastern
District of California, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, CA 93721 in Public Case
1:10-CR-00150-AWI, herein referred to as, and incorporated into this Private
Notice as the "Protectee", where the Protector, will outline, for the
Supervisory Court of Equity, United States Court of Appeals 9 th District,
herein referred to as, and incorporated into this Private Notice as "Supervisor
the alarming nature of the public dishonors committed by the Protectee, that
give rise to this Private Notice to the Supervisor, so that the Supervisor has an
opportunity to join the Protector in maintaining the public integrity and
reputation of the Court, by instructing the Protectee to Discharge Public case
1:10-CR-00150-AWI for Equity will not suffer a wrong without a remedy.

OUTLINE OF PUBLIC DISHONORS

Under the protection of the Protector of the Court

* Denial of Counsel

* Denial of Pro Se

* Bias Juror allowed to serve on Jury

* Bias Judge

* Improper definition of Reasonable doubt

Structural Dishonor: <u>Denial of Counsel</u>: No ratification of commencement, and no real party of interest in Public Case # 1:10-CR-00150, partial transcripts from December 19th 2011 (bold emphasis added) herein referred to as, and incorporated into this Private Notice as Exhibit "A1" Page 5, line 7-11:

27 28

26

room:)

"THE COURT: Okay. You know, look, folks, you have to understand. I know that I got this case fairly recently and there's a long history to it. But I have to advise you folks that you need to get an attorney or you're going to have to represent yourselves."

Exhibit A1 Page 9, line 2-24:

"THE COURT: Are you going to represent yourselves?

(Accommodation Party) MR. BOSSINGHAM: We conditionally accept the offer, judge, on proof of claim that counsel is even needed in light of the ongoing private administrative record before this Court and on proof of claim that there is not a request before this Court to continue the public proceedings pending the completion of the ongoing private administrative process, which in all likelihood will set off, settle and resolve this matter without wasting valuable public resources.

(The Accommodation Party(s) then left the court room, the rest of the recordings in this case took place with only the Court, Court officers,

Transcriptionist and the Attorneys Representing the Plaintiff, in the Court

MR. CARBAJAL: Your Honor, the government would ask for a finding that -- from the Court that the defendants have waived their right to counsel by their conduct.

THE COURT: I agree. Based upon their continuing